OFFICE OF THE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR HUMBERSIDE DECISION RECORD

Decision Record Number: 18/2013

Title: Performance Development Review - Chief Constable

Executive Summary:

The purpose of the submission is to approve the objectives and the monitoring process for the performance of the Chief Constable.

Commissioner Comments:

The Commissioner indicated that in relation to objective 6 it should be stressed that whilst working at a national level Humberside and its interests should be promoted.

Whilst considering the most appropriate mechanism for recording the Chief Constable's performance, the Commissioner requested that the Chief Constable look at the Force's current PDR system.

Decision:

That the Chief Constable's performance development review objectives at appendix 1 be approved.

That the national template be used to record the performance of the Chief Constable.

That progress be monitored by the end of October and March each year.

Background Report: Open

Police and Crime Commissioner for Humberside

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with my code of conduct.

Any such interests are recorded below.

The above decision has my approval.

Signature

Date 11.06.13

POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR HUMBERSIDE

SUBMISSION FOR: DECISION

OPEN

Title:

Performance Development Review - Chief Constable

Date:

11th June 2013

1. Executive Summary

The purpose of the submission is to approve the objectives and the monitoring process for the performance of the Chief Constable.

2. Recommendation(s)

To approve the Chief Constable's performance development review objectives at appendix 1.

To consider the most appropriate system to record performance of the Chief Constable.

To agree to monitor progress by the end of October and March each year.

3. Background

Currently there is no national process or requirement for performance reviews of Chief Constables other than the statutory responsibility to hold her to account for delivery of policing¹. However, it is recognised practice that a performance review process should exist for staff, to establish a clear direction for the expected organisational improvements, to assess an individual's competency and to consider any professional development needs.

The Force performance development review process is based upon a simple electronic system. The 'screen shots' at appendix 2 demonstrate the format for both the appraisee (Chief Constable) and the line manager (yourself). Tony Berry the Force PDR data base manager has set up this facility for the Chief Constable, should you wish to use the force system. The Force system is limited and consideration needs to be given as to whether it is appropriate for use with the Chief Constable.

¹ Police Reform and Social responsibility Act 2011, section 1(7) (8).

Previously a standard national template has been used for all Chief Constables and this has been slightly adapted and made available at appendix 3 for consideration. The key difference to the Force format is that it enables more detail to be considered and more importantly it provides a competency based framework upon which to assess professional skills and ability. This is obviously in addition to any assessment around achievement of organisational objectives. The competency framework is the one you used in the selection process for appointment of the Chief Constable and is shown at appendix 4.

This more detailed format enables a greater focus upon the individual's capability and development, as opposed to simply organisational improvement.

Although you have regular meetings with the Chief Constable it is suggested that a formal review of progress / performance be undertaken by the end of October and March each year.

4. Options

Option 1 – Do not have a PDR for the Chief Constable

There is no statutory requirement for a performance development process and review, other than the statutory requirement to hold the Chief Constable account. The advantage is the removal of bureaucracy and more freedom to direct and assess performance as the need arises. The disadvantage is that it does not support the Chief Constable in terms of her professional development nor in giving clarity over what is required from her in taking the Force forward.

Ultimately this option would not provide a robust audit trail for performance review of the most senior member of the Force and give the wrong impression to all.

Option 2 – Adopt the Force PDR process / system for the Chief Constable

The draft objectives at appendix 1 have been considered by the Chief Constable and could easily be incorporated on the force system. The advantage is that you would give clarity to what is required, it would provide an audit trail and providing you conducted formal reviews of performance it would enable you both to have a continual dialogue over how things are going. It would also demonstrate consistency to officers and staff.

Use of the force system would not easily provide either of you with an opportunity to consider any areas within the national competency framework,

where further professional development would be beneficial. However, a way could be found to supplement the existing system, should it be seen as important.

Option 3 – Adopt the previous national template, alongside the Police Professional Framework

This option utilises the old national template along with the national competency framework. It has the same advantages as option 2, but alongside a common standard to assess competency against.

However, the disadvantage is that it is merely a word format template and not a 'web enabled' system. Also it is not consistent with other members of the team / Force.

The preferred option is use of the national framework as it provides a more robust arrangement for supporting the most senior officer in the Force and although it is not consistent with everyone else, the current Force system is potentially not fit for purpose and will change within the year anyway.

5. Risks

The risks of implementing the preferred option are negligible as the key issue is for the Chief Constable to be supported by a performance review process, irrespective of the system used to record the information.

6. Financial Implications

Not relevant

7. Legal Implications

The use of a PDR process enables both parties with an audit trail for performance reviews and professional support / development.

8. Equalities Implications

Not relevant

9. Consultation

The Chief constable has been consulted and made additions to the original draft objectives.

10. Media information

Not appropriate

11. Background documents

Draft Performance development review objectives - Chief Constable

- 1. **Police and Crime Plan:** To ensure that the Force's infrastructure and capability, including policies, strategies, resources and systems are focussed upon achieving the outcomes in the plan through consistent oversight and leadership. (measure policing plan measures)
- 2. **People centred organisation:** To introduce a culture, values and arrangements that demonstrate an inclusive and engaged workforce. (measure staff survey, plus any evidence provided)
- 3. **use of resources:** To oversee a Force change programme, which focuses upon the most effective and efficient use of resources, ensuring that balanced budget achieved alongside improvements in service delivery [link to 1 above] (measure 1. plus budget monitoring, savings plan delivery)
- 4. **Strategic policing requirement:** To ensure that the Force has the capacity and capability to properly support the SPR (measure compliance check, including HMIC inspection)
- 5. **Effective relationships:** to develop meaningful relationships, agreements and arrangements, which support 1-4 above with policing, community and business partners (measure feedback, results for 1-4?)
- Professional Practice: Contribute and support the development of effective practice through engagement with College of Policing and ACPO. (evidence provided on influence and contribution, adoption of national standards / HMIC inspections)

Maximising Performance & Version 4.03	Potential		
Main Menu / My PDR /		_	
Click on heading to select <u>Back to My PDR</u> Go to PDR Section A. B. B1. C. D. E. F. G.	User Guide	Printable version	Logged in as - Anthony Berry
CONTRACTOR AND			
The second of th	ising Performance & Potential erformance Development Review RESTRICTED	,	
<u></u>	Add∕View Electronic Evidence		
A Personal Details			
Fin : Name:	Rank/Grade:		
Division/Branch: Y	Unit/Dept:		•
PDR Period: 01/04/12 - 31/03/13 Reset	Position Title:		
Date In Position:	Police/Staff: Police Staff		
Line Manager Fin # :}	Line Manager Name:		
Second Line Manager Fin # : .	Second Line Manager Name	1799A 1/1099	
Supportive Management Action Show Supportive Management Actions (0) >> B Summary of Past Year's Overall PDR Performance (Objectives, Competence and Core Values) For completion by line manager. (Note: Rating gathered from PDR Year 31/03/11).			
Previous PDR's Overall Rating: Exceptional			
B1 Career Planning	I		
My current career aspirations, as agreed with my line manager, car summarised as follows:	n be Seeking Career Developmen	nt in Current Role	
C Individual Performance Objectives for the			
Enter Individual Performance Objectives to support the objectives of			
NEW PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: Please save the current Pe Objective:	Prormance Objective before adding anoth	er	
Objective.			<u>A</u>
l			<u> </u>
Top Save Help			
D Continuing Professional/Personal Development			
Outline developmental actions that will support the achievement of your performance objectives, competence and core values, and where appropriate, career plans or aspirations.			
Note: Completion is optional and should be related to any requirement that ensures ongoing satisfactory performance in the existing role. Support and advice regarding lateral development and promotion needs should be addressed via Career Services or the Leadership Team.			
NEW DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVE: Please save the current Development Objective before adding another			
Objective:			_
			~
1'			

			Top Save Help	2		
E	Attendance a	and Conduct Managemer	nt			
Does th	e Appraisee meet th	ne Force Attendance Criteria ?				
Please being ta	provide details of an aken in line with the t	y extenuating circumstances rela force Attendance Management Po	ating to the Atlendance that magnitude.	y have had an impact on p	erformance and include what	action (if any) i
			Top Save Help			
F	Summary of	overall PDR performance	e (Objectives, Compete	ence and Core Value	es)	
Overall	PDR	Exceptional	Competent	Not Yet Co	ompetent	
No evide	ence is required for	"Exceptional" or "Competent" ass	essment. Those identified as "I	Not Yet Competent" should	d have an agreed developmen	t and support
Comme	nts from Line Manag	jer.				
	F2 073.000	****				
Name :				Date :	Fin#:	
G	Appraisee Co	mments				
I wish to	make the following	comments and/or add details of a	any work undertaken and/or ach	nievements gained.:		
						<u>A</u>
						-1
l agree	with this PDR ?				C Yes	€ No
Date:						
	The Appraisee sh	rould only click the "PDR Review Com	Top Save Help	icated their Agreement/Disagr	eement and entered their commen	ts.
	Completion	n will prevent further changes by the A	Appraisee and Manager and indicate PDR Review Completed		the PDR has been completed.	

Maximising Performance & Potential Main Menu / My PDR / Printable version Click on heading to select Back to My PDR Go to PDR Section A B B+ B1 C D E F G User Guide Logged in as - Anthony Berry **Maximising Performance & Potential Current Performance Development Review** RESTRICTED Add∕View Electronic Evidence Personal Details Name:, Rank/Grade: [-----• Division/Branch: 1-Unit/Dept: PDR Period: 01/04/12 - 31/03/13 Position Title: Police/Staff: Police Staff Date In Position: Line Manager Name: /-Line Manager Fin # : Find Second Line Manager Name: Second Line Manager Fin # : [Find Top Save Help Summary of Past Year's Overall PDR Performance (Objectives, Competence and Core Values) For completion by line manager. Previous PDR's Overall Rating: Competent **Supportive Management Action** Supportive Management Action Supportive Action Date: Y Add a Supportive Management Action Top Save Help Disable Stage 1 UPP Action Plan Print Action Plan B+ UPP Stage 1 Action Plan The Line Manager must invite the appraisee to a Stage 1 Meeting to discuss the Action Plan. Click the Link to access the appropriate letter template for Officers or Staff. (Click Here for Stage 1 Meeting Letter) Click the Link to access the Practice Directions for Officers or Staff. (Click here for Practice Directions) Date the letter was sent: Date of meeting Summary of unsatisfactory performance discussed A A Specific Improvements Required -Target Date Line Manager Fin#: Action Plan Dated Date copy of Action Plan provided to the appraisee

Update Meeting Summary			<u> </u>
			<u>-</u>
Line Manager Final Performance Assessment			<u>a</u>
Has the Specific Improvement required been observed			
	If 'No' advice should be sought from Shared Se	ervices in order to progress to Stage	3 2 UPP.
		ave Help	
B1 Career Planning			
My current career aspirations, a summarised as follows:	s agreed with my line manager, can be		•
C Individual Perfo	rmance Objectives for the coming y	rear	
Enter Individual Performance Ob	pjectives to support the objectives of the Branch/	Division.	
	Performa	add e nce Objective	<i>a</i>
		ave Help	
	essional/Personal Development	man ablanti en annante en d	
or aspirations.	at will support the achievement of your performa	ince objectives, competence and co	ore values, and where appropriate, career plans
	should be related to any requirement that ensured promotion needs should be addressed via Ca		
		dd a ent Objective	
		ave Help	
E Attendance and	Conduct Management	100	
Does the Appraisee meet the For			r Yes r No
	enuating circumstances relating to the Attendance	e that may have had an impact on	
	<u> </u>		A.
			~
	Top Sa	eve Help	
F Summary of over	all PDR performance (Objectives, C	ompetence and Core Valu	ies)
Overall PDR	Exceptional C	Competent C	Not Yet Competent
No evidence is required for "Exce plan.	ptional" or "Competent" assessment. Those iden	ntified as "Not Yet Competent" shou	
Comments from Line Manager:			
			-
Name: And The Land		Date :	Fin#
	Iop Sa	ve Help	
G Appraisee Comm	ents		
wish to make the following commagree with this PDR:	nents and/or add details of any work undertaken	and/or achievements gained.:	
Date:			

PERFORMANCE AND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW FORM FOR CHIEF POLICE OFFICERS

This form is designed to be used in the performance and development review (PDR)

process for chief police officers in England and Wales

The principal aim of the PDR scheme for chief officers is to foster and support
the performance and further development of individual chief officers in the police
service

PDRs must be carried out fairly and objectively for all chief officers, irrespective of gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation or any other irrelevant factor

A: PERSONAL DETAILS

Name				
Rank	*1	Chief Constable		
Force		Humberside		
HMIC region		Northern		
Date started in	n current post	1 April 2013		
Period of review	From 1/4/13		To 31/3/14	

B: CURRENT DUTIES - INCLUDING RESOURCES

Chief officers may, if they wish, include a brief description of their post – to cover management span, budget responsibility and key accountabilities

C: PERSONAL OBJECTIVES

Record key personal objectives for this review period. These should take account of the chief officer's proposed contribution to the delivery of the policing objectives in the local policing plan (LPP). Objectives should wherever possible and relevant be SMARTER (specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, time limited, evaluated and reviewed). Objectives should also take account of the competencies for chief officers set out in the National Competency Framework (NCF) – the core competencies are given in section H

There should not normally be more than ten key personal objectives. All chief officers' objectives should include one diversity objective

OBJECTIVES 2012 -13

1.

By 31 March 2014

2.

By 31 March 2014

3.

By 31 March 2014

4.

By 31 March 2014

D: PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN		
Record proposed actions for personal development plan for this review period. These should be linked to either the PDR for the previous review period or the personal objectives for this review period. There should normally be between three and five proposed actions per review period		
,		
7		
The chief officer and th	ne person responsible fo	r agreeing his/ her personal
		ere to indicate that they have
Chief constables should		ectives and development plan.
The HMI for the force concerned should retain a copy. One other copy should be sent to the chair of the police authority, to be held in strict confidence by the clerk		
to the authority		
		2
[Signature]	9	
[Signature]		

E: IN-YEAR REVIEW		
Chief constables should have at least one in-year review with the Commissioner. The views of the Commissioner on the chief constable's contribution to the delivery of the Police and Crime Plan and to the relations between the force and the OPCC, and on any other factors which may seem relevant, should be reflected in the in-year review		
A note of the in-year revolution of the reviewer.	view should be written up	and signed off by both the chief
	2	
	ally be more than ten key d include one diversity obje	v personal objectives. All chief ctive
OBJECTIVES 2013-14 -	End of review:	
<u>1.</u>		
<u>2.</u>		
<u>3.</u>		
<u>4.</u>		
[Signature]		[Date] 2013

F: SELF-ASSESSMENT	
All chief officers should write up and sign performance against their personal objectives officers may include comments on relation organizations	s and development plan. Chief
Personal objectives	
Development plan •	
Chief Constable	

H: POLICE PROFESSIONAL FRAMEWORK	
Either the chief officer or the reviewer may wish to comment on the chofficer's growth in, and demonstration of, the core ACPO competencies out in the Police Professional framework over the review period.	
Serving the public:	
Leading strategic change:	
Leading the workforce:	200
Managing performance:	
Professionalism:	
Decision making:	
Working with others:	

I: CHIEF OFFICER'S COMMENTS		
The Chief constable should sign to indicate that they have read and agree the content of the PDR form		
[Signature]		
Any additional comments		
		40
J: REVIEWER'S COMM	ENTS	
J. REVIEWER 5 CONNIN	ENIS	
[Signature]		
Any additional comments		
0116		The LIMI for the form
	d retain their PDR forn a copy. One other cop	ns. The HMI for the force y should be sent to the chair
of the police authority, authority	to be held in strict col	nfidence by the clerk to the

Role profile

National policing professional framework

Serving the public

Promotes a real belief in public service, focusing on what matters to the public and will best serve their interests. Ensures that all staff understand the expectations, changing needs and concerns of different communities, and strive to address them. Builds public confidence by actively engaging with different communities, agencies and strategic stakeholders, developing effective partnerships at a local and national level. Understands partners' perspectives and priorities, working co-operatively with them to develop future public services within budget constraints, and deliver the best possible overall service to the public.

Leading strategic change

Thinks in the long term, establishing a compelling vision based on the values of the Police Service, and a clear direction for the force. Instigates and delivers structural and cultural change, thinking beyond the constraints of current ways of working, and is prepared to make radical change when required. Identifies better ways to deliver value for money services that meet both local and national needs, encouraging creativity and innovation within the force and partner organisations.

Leading the workforce

Inspires people to meet challenging organisational goals, creating and maintaining the momentum for change. Gives direction and states expectations clearly. Talks positively about policing and what it can achieve, building pride and self-esteem. Creates enthusiasm and commitment throughout the force by rewarding good performance, and giving genuine recognition and praise. Promotes learning and development within the force, giving honest and constructive feedback to colleagues and investing time in coaching and mentoring staff.

Managing Performance

Translates the vision into action by establishing a clear strategy and ensuring appropriate structures are in place to deliver it. Sets ambitious but achievable timescales and deliverables, and monitors progress to ensure strategic objectives are met. Identifies and removes blockages to performance, managing the workforce and resources to deliver maximum value for money. Defines what good performance looks like, highlighting good practice. Confronts underperformance and ensures it is addressed. Delegates responsibilities appropriately and empowers people to make decisions, holding them to account for delivery.

Professionalism

Acts with integrity, in line with the values and ethical standards of the Police Service. Delivers on promises, demonstrating personal commitment, energy and drive to get things done. Defines and reinforces standards, demonstrating these personally and fostering a culture of personal responsibility throughout the force. Asks for and acts on feedback on own approach, continuing to learn and adapt to new circumstances. Takes responsibility for making tough or unpopular decisions, demonstrating courage and resilience in difficult situations. Remains calm and professional under pressure and in conditions of uncertainty. Openly acknowledges shortcomings in service and commits to putting them right.

Decision making

Assimilates complex information quickly, weighing up alternatives and making sound, timely decisions. Gathers and considers all relevant and available information, seeking out and listening to advice from specialists. Asks incisive questions to test facts and assumptions, and gain a full understanding of the situation. Identifies the key issues clearly, and the inter-relationship between different factors. Considers the wider impact and implications of different options at a local and national level, assessing the costs, risks and benefits of each. Prepared to make the ultimate decision, even in conditions of ambiguity and uncertainty. Makes clear, proportionate and justifiable decisions, reviewing these as necessary.

Working with others

Builds effective working relationships through clear communication and a collaborative approach. Maintains visibility and ensures communication processes work effectively throughout the force and with external bodies. Consults widely and involves people in decision-making, speaking in a way they understand and can engage with. Treats people with respect and dignity regardless of their background or circumstances, promoting equality and the elimination of discrimination. Treats people as individuals, showing tact, empathy and compassion. Negotiates effectively with local and national bodies, representing the interests of the Police Service. Sells ideas convincingly, setting out the benefits of a particular approach, and striving to reach mutually beneficial solutions. Expresses own views positively and constructively. Fully commits to team decisions.

Source: Skills for Justice, NPIA & ACPO police professional framework

