



Audit Progress Report

Police and Crime Commissioner for
Humberside

Chief Constable for Humberside

March 2019





CONTENTS

- 1. Audit progress**
- 2. National publications**

This document is to be regarded as confidential to the Police and Crime Commissioner for Humberside and Chief Constable for Humberside. It has been prepared for the sole use of the Joint Independent Audit Committee. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.

1. AUDIT PROGRESS

Purpose of this report

This report provides the Joint Independent Audit Committee with an update on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

Audit progress

Since our last update to the Committee we have:

- held internal planning meetings as part of our planning process for the 2018/19 audit;
- had update meetings with finance in respect of planning for the 2018/19 interim and final audit visits;
- undertaken planning work to complete our documentation in respect of the financial systems (including undertaking walkthrough testing);
- Documented our understanding of the processes in place that inform the preparation of the financial statements;
- undertaken our risk assessment as part of planning for our 2018/19 VFM conclusion; and
- prepared our Audit Strategy Memorandum, which is being presented to the Joint Independent Audit Committee as a separate item at the March 2019 meeting.

Our work is on track, and there are no significant matters arising from our work to report to you at this stage.

Final accounts workshop

As in previous years, we ran a final accounts workshop for police and other public sector bodies, designed to help ensure the final accounts process goes as smoothly as possible. The most local workshop was held in January 2019 and finance officers from Humberside Police attended the event, which was free of charge.

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

	Publication/update	Key points
National Audit Office (NAO)		
1.	Local auditor reporting in England 2018	Main findings reported by auditors in 2017/18.
2.	Local authorities - governance	Consideration of VfM and financial sustainability in local authorities.
Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA)		
3.	Local quality audit forum	December 2018 forum slides available online.
4.	Oversight of audit quality, quarterly compliance reports	No significant issues.

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

1. Local auditor reporting in England 2018, NAO, January 2019

Since 2015, the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) has been responsible for setting the standards for local public audit, through maintaining a Code of Audit Practice and issuing associated guidance to local auditors.

The report describes the roles and responsibilities of local auditors and relevant national bodies in relation to the local audit framework and summarises the main findings reported by local auditors in 2017-18. It also considers how the quantity and nature of the issues reported have changed since the C&AG took up his new responsibilities in 2015, and highlights differences between the local government and NHS sectors. The report highlights a number of points as summarised below.

- Auditors gave unqualified opinions on financial statements in 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18. This provides assurance that local public bodies are complying with financial reporting requirements. As at 17 December 2018, auditors had yet to issue 16 opinions on financial statements, so this does not yet represent the full picture for 2017-18.
- Auditors qualified their conclusions on arrangements to secure value for money at an increasing number of local public bodies: up from 170 (18%) in 2015-16 to 208 (22%) in 2017-18. Again, as at 17 December 2018, auditors had yet to issue 20 conclusions on arrangements to secure value for money, so this number may increase further for 2017-18. This level of qualifications reinforces the need to ensure that local auditors' reporting informs as much as possible relevant departments' understanding of the issues facing local public bodies.
- Auditors qualified their conclusions at 40 (8%) of local government bodies. The proportion of qualifications was highest for single-tier local authorities and county councils where auditors qualified 27 (18%) of their value for money arrangements conclusions. The qualifications were for weaknesses in governance arrangements, often also highlighted by inspectorates' ratings of services as inadequate.
- More local NHS bodies received qualified conclusions on arrangements to secure VfM than local government bodies. In 2017-18, auditors qualified 168 (38%) of local NHS bodies' conclusions; up from 130 (29%) in 2015-16, mainly because of not meeting financial targets such as keeping spending within annual limits set by Parliament; not delivering savings to balance the body's budget; or because of inadequate plans to achieve financial balance. The increase between 2015-16 and 2017-18 is particularly steep at clinical commissioning groups, with qualifications for poor financial performance increasing from 21 (10%) in 2015-16 to 67 (32%) in 2017-18.
- Local auditors are using their additional reporting powers, but infrequently. Since April 2015, local auditors have issued only three Public Interest Reports, and made only seven Statutory Recommendations. These Public Interest Reports have drawn attention to issues such as unlawful use of parking income, governance failings in the oversight of a council-owned company, management of major projects or members' conduct. Auditors have made Statutory Recommendations in relation to failing to deliver planned cost savings, poor processes for producing the annual financial statements and failure to address weaknesses highlighted by independent reviews.
- A significant proportion of local bodies may not fully understand the main purpose of the auditor's conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and the importance of addressing those issues. 102 local public bodies were contacted where auditors had reported concerns about their arrangements to ensure value for money:
 - half of the bodies (51) said that the auditor's report identified issues that they already knew about;
 - fifty-seven (95%) of those responding said they had plans in place to address their weaknesses but only three were able to say that they had fully implemented their plans; and
 - twenty-six (25%) did not respond at all to the NAO's request.
- The extent to which central government departments responsible for the oversight of local bodies have formal arrangements in place to draw on the findings from local auditor reports varies. Processes in the relevant central government departments differ. The Department of Health & Social Care, NHS Improvement and NHS England have arrangements in place to monitor the in-year financial performance of local NHS bodies, and use information from local auditor reports to confirm their understanding of risks in the system. The Home Office and Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government consider the output from local auditors' reports to obtain a broad overview of the issues local auditors are raising, but there is a risk that these two departments may be unaware of all relevant local issues.

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

1. Local auditor reporting in England 2018, NAO, January 2019 (continued)

Under the current local audit and performance framework, there is no direct consequence of receiving a non-standard report from the local auditor. Before 2010, a qualified value for money arrangements conclusion would have a direct impact on the scored assessments for all local public bodies published by the Audit Commission at that time. While departments may intervene in connection with the issues giving rise to a qualification, such as failure to meet expenditure limits, there are no formal processes in place, other than the local audit framework, that report publicly whether local bodies are addressing the weaknesses that local auditors are reporting.

A list of all local bodies that received a non-standard local auditor report for 2017-18 was published alongside the report.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/local-auditor-reporting-in-england-2018/>

2. Local authorities - governance, NAO, January 2019

The NAO has recently published a report on local authority governance, which examines whether local governance arrangements provide local taxpayers and Parliament with assurance that local authority spending achieves value for money and that authorities are financially sustainable.

The report finds that local authorities have faced significant challenges since 2010. For example, they have seen a real-terms reduction in spending power of 29% and a 15% increase in the number of children in care. These pressures raise the risk of authorities' failing to remain financially sustainable and deliver services.

The way authorities have responded to these challenges have tested local governance arrangements. Many authorities have pursued large-scale transformations or commercial investments that carry a risk of failure or under-performance and add greater complexity to governance arrangements. Spending by authorities on resources to support governance also fell by 34% in real terms between 2010-11 and 2017-18, potentially increasing the risks faced by local bodies.

In 2017-18, auditors issued qualified VFM arrangements conclusions for around one in five single tier and county councils. A survey, carried out by the NAO, of external auditors indicates that several authorities did not take appropriate steps to address these issues.

Some external auditors have raised concerns about the effectiveness of the internal checks and balances at the local authorities they audit, such as risk management, internal audit and scrutiny and overview. For example, 27% of auditors surveyed by the NAO do not agree that their authority's Audit Committees provided sufficient assurance about the authorities' governance arrangements. Auditors felt that many authorities are struggling in more than one aspect of governance, demonstrating the stress on governance at a local level.

Some authorities have begun to question the contribution of external audit to providing assurance on their governance arrangements. 51% of chief finance officers from single tier and county councils responding to our survey indicated that there are aspects of external audit they would like to change. This includes a greater focus on the value for money element of the audit (26%). External auditors recognise this demand within certain local authorities. However, their work must conform to the auditing standards they are assessed against and any additional activity may have implications for the fee needed for the audit.

The report also finds that MHCLG does not systematically collect data on governance, meaning it can't rigorously assess whether issues are isolated incidents or symptomatic of failings in aspects of the system. MHCLG recognises that it needs to be more active in leading co-ordinated change across the local governance system. The report recommends that MHCLG works with local authorities and other stakeholders to assess the implications of, and possible responses to, the various governance issues identified. It should examine ways of introducing greater transparency and openness to its formal and informal interventions in local authorities and should adopt a stronger leadership role in overseeing the network of organisations managing key aspects of the governance framework.

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/local-authority-governance-2/>

2. NATIONAL PUBLICATIONS

3. Local Audit Quality Forum, Public Sector Audit Appointments, December 2018

The Local Audit Quality Forum (LAQF) is a forum within which representatives of relevant audit bodies can work together and collaborate with others to share good practice and strive to enable improvements in the quality, efficiency and effectiveness of audit arrangements and practices in principal local authorities and police bodies in England. PSAA wants to develop a momentum and a passion for continuous improvement in audit arrangements throughout the entities and sectors for which PSAA has a mandate.

Slides of the Manchester December 2018 event are available on the PSAA website as per the link below.

The theme of the Manchester event was financial resilience and sustainability, a major challenge for all local authorities and police bodies in the current climate and a key strategic concern as bodies prepare 2019/20 budgets and update medium term plans. The event explored:

- the nature and scale of the sustainability challenges facing local bodies;
- the strategies and disciplines which can help to address them successfully; and
- the roles and responsibilities of Chief Finance Officers and Auditors in helping to maintain resilience and sustainability.

<https://www.psa.co.uk/local-audit-quality-forum3/local-audit-quality-forum/>

4. Oversight of audit quality, quarterly compliance reports 2017/18, Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd

There are no significant issues arising in the latest quarterly compliance report issued by PSAA.

<https://www.psa.co.uk/audit-quality/contract-compliance-monitoring/principal-audits/mazars-audit-quality/>

CONTACT

Director: Mark Kirkham

Phone: 0191 383 6300

Mobile: 07747 764529

Email: mark.kirkham@mazars.co.uk

Manager: James Collins

Phone: 0191 383 6331

Mobile: 0788 128 3527

Email: james.collins@mazars.co.uk